



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,795	09/19/2003	Seishiro Fujii	10287-077001 / 2216	3494
26161 7	590 11/16/2005		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON PC			GITOMER, RALPH J	
P.O. BOX 102			ADTIDGE	DARED ME COCO
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1655	

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/664,795	FUJII ET AL.	
Office Action Summar	y	Examiner	Art Unit -	
		Ralph Gitomer	1655	
- The MAILING DATE of this com			orrespondence address	•
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERION WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxin Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	HE MAILING DA- visions of 37 CFR 1.136 communication. num statutory period will r reply will, by statute, conths after the mailing d	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (a). In no event, however, may a repty be timed apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE!	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communicat D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s	s) filed on <u>19 Se</u>	otember 2003.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This a	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in cond	ition for allowand	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the p	ractice under Ex	parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to res	is/are withdraw			
Application Papers			•	
	d/are: a) ☐ acce dobjection to the d		e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	1(d)
11) The oath or declaration is object	•	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	of: ority documents ority documents pies of the priorit national Bureau	have been received. have been received in Applicati ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	149 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-11, drawn to a method of screening for an agent that reduces wrinkles.
- II. Claims 12-15, 21, 23-24, drawn to a method of treating wrinkles.
- III. Claims 16-19, drawn to a cosmetic composition.
- IV. Claims 20, 22, drawn to a method of providing a record.
- V. Claims 25-28, drawn to a kit.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as employing agents found by methods other than those of Group I. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 10/664,795

Art Unit: 1655

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the composition of Group III could be identified by methods other than those of Group I.

Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are distinct because the methods have different functions.

Inventions I and V are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method could be practiced without the kit.

Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the method of Group II could employ compositions other than those of Group III.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,795

Art Unit: 1655

Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are distinct because the methods have different functions.

Inventions II and V are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method could be practiced without the kit.

Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are distinct because there is no relation between a cosmetic composition and a method of providing a record.

Inventions III and V are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the composition does not require a kit.

Inventions IV and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are distinct because there is no relation between providing a record and a kit.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1655

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ralph Gitomer whose telephone number is (571) 272-0916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on (571) 272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ralph Gitomer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1655

Mailones

RALPH GITOMER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200